

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/509,237	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROSE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BLESSING M. FUBARA	<b>Art Unit</b> 1618	

**All Participants:**

(1) BLESSING M. FUBARA.

(2) E. Victor Indiano.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 9 to be amended to remove "the" before "preparation" and "steps" in part 3 of the claim; claim 9 is also to be amended to replace ";" with a period. Proper alternative expression using the Markush group is also recited by changing "a" to ---the--- before "group" in section 3 of claim 9 according to suggestion in MPEP 2173.05(h) I. .